

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
WESTERN DIVISION

MICHAEL P. AND SHELLIE GILMOR,
et al.,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

PREFERRED CREDIT CORPORATION,
et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 10-0189-CV-W-ODS

**PLAINTIFFS' UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUGGESTIONS IN
OPPOSITION IN EXCESS OF PAGE LIMITS ALLOWED BY LOCAL RULE**

Plaintiffs respectfully move the Court for an Order permitting them to file their Suggestions in Opposition to the *Motion to Decertify Class* (Doc #513) filed by Defendants Litton Loan Servicing, L.P., and JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., (hereinafter "Moving Defendants") of up to thirty (30) pages in length. In support of this Unopposed Motion, Plaintiffs state as follows:

SUGGESTIONS IN SUPPORT

1. Plaintiffs are set to file their Suggestions in Opposition to the Moving Defendants' *Motion to Decertify Class* by the current deadline of June 15, 2012.

2. In connection with their *Motion to Decertify Class*, the Moving Defendants consulted with Plaintiffs' counsel and requested consent to file the decertification motion in excess of the page limits set by Local Rule 7.0(f). Plaintiffs' counsel consented to the Moving Defendants' request and did not oppose their motion requesting the excess pages. The Court granted that unopposed motion for leave to exceed the page limits and the Moving Defendants

subsequently filed their decertification motion and their suggestions in support thereof, which totaled 25 pages.

3. Plaintiffs submit that good cause exists for this request in that it is necessary for Plaintiffs to exceed the 15-page limit for suggestions in opposition to respond to the Moving Defendants' decertification motion and the arguments raised therein.

4. The excess pages requested by Plaintiffs will not cause any hardship or prejudice to any party.

5. Plaintiffs have conferred with counsel for the Moving Defendants regarding the requested excess pages and have been informed that they do not oppose the instant request for excess pages.

6. This request has been made in good faith and is necessary for Plaintiffs to complete their suggestions in opposition.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court enter an Order permitting them to file their Suggestions in Opposition to the Moving Defendants' *Motion to Decertify Class* of up to thirty (30) pages in length and for any other relief the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: June 15, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

WALTERS BENDER STROHBEHN
& VAUGHAN, P.C.

By: /s/Bruce V. Nguyen

R. Frederick Walters – Mo. Bar 25069
Kip D. Richards – Mo. Bar 39743
David M. Skeens – Mo. Bar 35728
Karen W. Renwick – Mo. Bar 41271
J. Michael Vaughan Mo. – Bar 24989
Garrett M. Hodes – Mo. Bar 50221
Matthew R. Crimmins – Mo. Bar 53138
Bruce V. Nguyen – Mo. Bar 52893
2500 City Center Square
1100 Main Street
P.O. Box 26188
Kansas City, MO 64196
(816) 421-6620
(816) 421-4747 (Facsimile)
fwalters@wbsvlaw.com
krichards@wbsvlaw.com
dskeens@wbsvlaw.com
krenwick@wbsvlaw.com
mvaughan@wbsvlaw.com
ghodes@wbsvlaw.com
mcrimmins@wbsvlaw.com
bnguyen@wbsvlaw.com

**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS
AND CLASS COUNSEL**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on this the 15th day of June 2012, I electronically filed the above and foregoing document with the Clerk of Court of the Western District of Missouri using the Court's ECF system, which will send notification of said filing to all counsel of record who are ECF participants. In addition, a copy was served by U.S. mail, First-Class Postage Prepaid, to:

Arthur E. Kechijian, Manager
United Mortgage C.B., LLC
P.O. Box 471827
Charlotte, NC 28247
Defendant United Mortgage C.B., L.L.C.

/s/ Bruce V. Nguyen